HTTP Authentication-Info and Proxy-Authentication-Info
Response Header Fields

Abstract

This specification defines the "Authentication-Info" and "Proxy-
Authentication-Info" response header fields for use in Hypertext
Transfer Protocol (HTTP) authentication schemes that need to return
information once the client’s authentication credentials have been
accepted.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force
(IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has
received public review and has been approved for publication by the
Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on
Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata,
and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at
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1. Introduction

This specification defines the "Authentication-Info" and "Proxy-
Authentication-Info" response header fields for use in HTTP
authentication schemes ([RFC7235]) that need to return information
once the client’s authentication credentials have been accepted.

Both were previously defined in Section 3 of [RFC2617], defining the
HTTP "Digest" authentication scheme. This document generalizes the
description for use not only in "Digest" ([RFC7616]), but also in
other future schemes that might have the same requirements for
carrying additional information during authentication.

2. Notational Conventions

This specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF)
notation of [RFC5234] with a list extension, defined in Section 7 of
[RFC7230], that allows for compact definition of comma-separated
lists using a ‘#’ operator (similar to how the ‘*’ operator indicates
repetition). The ABNF production for "auth-param" is defined in
Section 2.1 of [RFC7235].

3. The Authentication-Info Response Header Field

HTTP authentication schemes can use the Authentication-Info response
header field to communicate information after the client’s
authentication credentials have been accepted. This information can
include a finalization message from the server (e.g., it can contain
the server authentication).

The field value is a list of parameters (name/value pairs), using the
"auth-param" syntax defined in Section 2.1 of [RFC7235]. This
specification only describes the generic format; authentication
schemes using Authentication-Info will define the individual
parameters. The "Digest" Authentication Scheme, for instance,
defines multiple parameters in Section 3.5 of [RFC7616].

   Authentication-Info = #auth-param

The Authentication-Info header field can be used in any HTTP
response, independently of request method and status code. Its
semantics are defined by the authentication scheme indicated by the
Authorization header field ([RFC7235], Section 4.2) of the
corresponding request.

A proxy forwarding a response is not allowed to modify the field
value in any way.
Authentication-Info can be used inside trailers ([RFC7230], Section 4.1.2) when the authentication scheme explicitly allows this.

3.1. Parameter Value Format

Parameter values can be expressed either as "token" or as "quoted-string" (Section 3.2.6 of [RFC7230]).

Authentication scheme definitions need to allow both notations, both for senders and recipients. This allows recipients to use generic parsing components, independent of the authentication scheme in use.

For backwards compatibility, authentication scheme definitions can restrict the format for senders to one of the two variants. This can be important when it is known that deployed implementations will fail when encountering one of the two formats.

4. The Proxy-Authentication-Info Response Header Field

The Proxy-Authentication-Info response header field is equivalent to Authentication-Info, except that it applies to proxy authentication ([RFC7235], Section 2) and its semantics are defined by the authentication scheme indicated by the Proxy-Authorization header field ([RFC7235], Section 4.4) of the corresponding request:

Proxy-Authentication-Info = #auth-param

However, unlike Authentication-Info, the Proxy-Authentication-Info header field applies only to the next outbound client on the response chain. This is because only the client that chose a given proxy is likely to have the credentials necessary for authentication. However, when multiple proxies are used within the same administrative domain, such as office and regional caching proxies within a large corporate network, it is common for credentials to be generated by the user agent and passed through the hierarchy until consumed. Hence, in such a configuration, it will appear as if Proxy-Authentication-Info is being forwarded because each proxy will send the same field value.

5. Security Considerations

Adding information to HTTP responses that are sent over an unencrypted channel can affect security and privacy. The presence of the header fields alone indicates that HTTP authentication is in use. Additional information could be exposed by the contents of the authentication-scheme specific parameters; this will have to be considered in the definitions of these schemes.
6. IANA Considerations

HTTP header fields are registered within the "Message Headers" registry located at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/message-headers>, as defined by [BCP90].

This document updates the definitions of the "Authentication-Info" and "Proxy-Authentication-Info" header fields, so the "Permanent Message Header Field Names" registry has been updated accordingly:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Header Field Name</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authentication-Info</td>
<td>http</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>Section 3 of this document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy-Authentication-Info</td>
<td>http</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>Section 4 of this document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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