The edns-tcp-keepalive EDNS0 Option

Abstract

DNS messages between clients and servers may be received over either UDP or TCP. UDP transport involves keeping less state on a busy server, but can cause truncation and retries over TCP. Additionally, UDP can be exploited for reflection attacks. Using TCP would reduce retransmits and amplification. However, clients commonly use TCP only for retries and servers typically use idle timeouts on the order of seconds.

This document defines an EDNS0 option ("edns-tcp-keepalive") that allows DNS servers to signal a variable idle timeout. This signalling encourages the use of long-lived TCP connections by allowing the state associated with TCP transport to be managed effectively with minimal impact on the DNS transaction time.
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1. Introduction

DNS messages between clients and servers may be received over either UDP or TCP [RFC1035]. Historically, DNS clients used APIs that only facilitated sending and receiving a single query over either UDP or TCP. New APIs and deployment of DNSSEC validating resolvers on hosts that in the past were using stub resolving only is increasing the DNS client base that prefer using long-lived TCP connections. Long-lived TCP connections can result in lower request latency than the case where UDP transport is used and truncated responses are received. This is because clients that retry over TCP following a truncated UDP response typically only use the TCP session for a single (request, response) pair, continuing with UDP transport for subsequent queries.

The use of TCP transport requires state to be retained on DNS servers. If a server is to perform adequately with a significant query load received over TCP, it must manage its available resources to ensure that all established TCP sessions are well-used, and idle connections are closed after an appropriate amount of time.

UDP transport is stateless, and hence presents a much lower resource burden on a busy DNS server than TCP. An exchange of DNS messages over UDP can also be completed in a single round trip between communicating hosts, resulting in optimally short transaction times. UDP transport is not without its risks, however.

A single-datagram exchange over UDP between two hosts can be exploited to enable a reflection attack on a third party. Response Rate Limiting [RRL] is designed to help mitigate such attacks against authoritative-only servers. One feature of RRL is to let some amount of responses "slip" through the rate limiter. These are returned with the TC (truncation) bit set, which causes legitimate clients to resend the same query using TCP transport.

[RFC1035] specified a maximum DNS message size over UDP transport of 512 bytes. Deployment of DNSSEC [RFC4033] and other protocols subsequently increased the observed frequency at which responses exceed this limit. EDNS0 [RFC6891] allows DNS messages larger than 512 bytes to be exchanged over UDP, with a corresponding increased incidence of fragmentation. Fragmentation is known to be problematic in general, and has also been implicated in increasing the risk of cache poisoning attacks [fragmentation-considered-poisonous].

TCP transport is less susceptible to the risks of fragmentation and reflection attacks. However, TCP transport for DNS as currently deployed has expensive setup overhead, compared to using UDP (when no retry is required).
The overhead of the three-way TCP handshake for a single DNS transaction is substantial, increasing the transaction time for a single (request, response) pair of DNS messages from 1x RTT to 2x RTT. There is no such overhead for a session that is already established; therefore, the overhead of the initial TCP handshake is minimised when the resulting session is used to exchange multiple DNS message pairs over a single session. The extra RTT time for session setup can be represented as the equation \((1 + N)/N\), where \(N\) represents the number of DNS message pairs that utilize the session and the result approaches unity as \(N\) increases.

With increased deployment of DNSSEC and new RR types containing application-specific cryptographic material, there is an increase in the prevalence of truncated responses received over UDP with retries over TCP. The overhead for a DNS transaction over UDP truncated due to RRL is 3x RTT higher than the overhead imposed on the same transaction initiated over TCP.

This document proposes a signalling mechanism between DNS clients and servers that encourages the use of long-lived TCP connections by allowing the state associated with TCP transport to be managed effectively with minimal impact on the DNS transaction time.

This mechanism will be of benefit for both stub-resolver and resolver-authoritative TCP connections. In the latter case, the persistent nature of the TCP connection can provide improved defence against attacks including DDoS.

The reduced overhead of this extension adds up significantly when combined with other EDNS0 extensions, such as [CHAIN-QUERY] and [DNS-over-TLS]. For example, the combination of these EDNS0 extensions make it possible for hosts on high-latency mobile networks to natively and efficiently perform DNSSEC validation and encrypt queries.

2. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
3. The edns-tcp-keepalive Option

This document specifies a new EDNS0 [RFC6891] option, edns-tcp-keepalive, which can be used by DNS clients and servers to signal a willingness to keep an idle TCP session open to conduct future DNS transactions, with the idle timeout being specified by the server. This specification does not distinguish between different types of DNS client and server in the use of this option.

[RFC7766] defines an ‘idle DNS-over-TCP session’ from both the client and server perspective. The idle timeout described here begins when the idle condition is met per that definition and should be reset when that condition is lifted, i.e., when a client sends a message or when a server receives a message on an idle connection.

3.1. Option Format

The edns-tcp-keepalive option is encoded as follows:

```
   0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  0  1
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
|           TIMEOUT             |
+-------------------------------+
```

where:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPTION-CODE:</th>
<th>the EDNS0 option code assigned to edns-tcp-keepalive, 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-LENGTH:</td>
<td>the value 0 if the TIMEOUT is omitted, the value 2 if it is present;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMEOUT:</td>
<td>an idle timeout value for the TCP connection, specified in units of 100 milliseconds, encoded in network byte order.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Use by DNS Clients

3.2.1. Sending Queries

DNS clients MUST NOT include the edns-tcp-keepalive option in queries sent using UDP transport.

DNS clients MAY include the edns-tcp-keepalive option in the first query sent to a server using TCP transport to signal their desire to keep the connection open when idle.
DNS clients MAY include the edns-tcp-keepalive option in subsequent queries sent to a server using TCP transport to signal their continued desire to keep the connection open when idle.

Clients MUST specify an OPTION-LENGTH of 0 and omit the TIMEOUT value.

3.2.2. Receiving Responses

A DNS client that receives a response using UDP transport that includes the edns-tcp-keepalive option MUST ignore the option.

A DNS client that receives a response using TCP transport that includes the edns-tcp-keepalive option MAY keep the existing TCP session open when it is idle. It SHOULD honour the timeout received in that response (overriding any previous timeout) and initiate close of the connection before the timeout expires.

A DNS client that receives a response that includes the edns-tcp-keepalive option with a TIMEOUT value of 0 SHOULD send no more queries on that connection and initiate closing the connection as soon as it has received all outstanding responses.

A DNS client that sent a query containing the edns-keepalive-option but receives a response that does not contain the edns-keepalive-option SHOULD assume the server does not support keepalive and behave following the guidance in [RFC7766]. This holds true even if a previous edns-keepalive-option exchange occurred on the existing TCP connection.

3.3. Use by DNS Servers

3.3.1. Receiving Queries

A DNS server that receives a query using UDP transport that includes the edns-tcp-keepalive option MUST ignore the option.

A DNS server that receives a query using TCP transport that includes the edns-tcp-keepalive option MAY modify the local idle timeout associated with that TCP session if resources permit.

3.3.2. Sending Responses

A DNS server that receives a query sent using TCP transport that includes an OPT RR (with or without the edns-tcp-keepalive option) MAY include the edns-tcp-keepalive option in the response to signal the expected idle timeout on a connection. Servers MUST specify the TIMEOUT value that is currently associated with the TCP session. It
is reasonable for this value to change according to local resource constraints. The DNS server SHOULD send an edns-tcp-keepalive option with a timeout of 0 if it deems its local resources are too low to service more TCP keepalive sessions or if it wants clients to close currently open connections.

3.4.  TCP Session Management

Both DNS clients and servers are subject to resource constraints that will limit the extent to which TCP sessions can persist. Effective limits for the number of active sessions that can be maintained on individual clients and servers should be established, either as configuration options or by interrogation of process limits imposed by the operating system. Servers that implement edns-tcp-keepalive should also engage in TCP connection management by recycling existing connections when appropriate, closing connections gracefully, and managing request queues to enable fair use.

In the event that there is greater demand for TCP sessions than can be accommodated, servers may reduce the TIMEOUT value signalled in successive DNS messages to minimise idle time on existing sessions. This also allows, for example, clients with other candidate servers to query to establish new TCP sessions with different servers in expectation that an existing session is likely to be closed or to fall back to UDP.

Based on TCP session resources, servers may signal a TIMEOUT value of 0 to request clients to close connections as soon as possible. This is useful when server resources become very low or a denial-of-service attack is detected and further maximises the shifting of TIME_WAIT state to well-behaved clients.

However, it should be noted that RFC 6891 states:

Lack of presence of an OPT record in a request MUST be taken as an indication that the requestor does not implement any part of this specification and that the responder MUST NOT include an OPT record in its response.

Since servers must be faithful to this specification even on a persistent TCP connection, it means that (following the initial exchange of timeouts) a server may not be presented with the opportunity to signal a change in the idle timeout associated with a connection if the client does not send any further requests containing EDNS0 OPT RRs. This limitation makes persistent connection handling via an initial idle timeout signal more
attractive than a mechanism that establishes default persistence and then uses a connection close signal (in a similar manner to HTTP 1.1 [RFC7230]).

If a client includes the edns-tcp-keepalive option in the first query, it SHOULD include an EDNS0 OPT RR periodically in any further messages it sends during the TCP session. This will increase the chance of the client being notified should the server modify the timeout associated with a session. The algorithm for choosing when to do this is out of scope of this document and is left up to the implementor and/or operator.

DNS clients and servers MAY close a TCP session at any time in order to manage local resource constraints. The algorithm by which clients and servers rank active TCP sessions in order to determine which to close is not specified in this document.

3.5. Non-clean Paths

Many paths between DNS clients and servers suffer from poor hygiene, limiting the free flow of DNS messages that include particular EDNS0 options or messages that exceed a particular size. A fallback strategy similar to that described in [RFC6891], Section 6.2.2 SHOULD be employed to avoid persistent interference due to non-clean paths.

3.6. Anycast Considerations

DNS servers of various types are commonly deployed using anycast [RFC4786].

Changes in network topology between clients and anycast servers may cause disruption to TCP sessions making use of edns-tcp-keepalive more often than with TCP sessions that omit it, since the TCP sessions are expected to be longer lived. It might be possible for anycast servers to avoid disruption due to topology changes by making use of TCP multipath [RFC6824] to anchor the server side of the TCP connection to an unambiguously unicast address.

4. Intermediary Considerations

It is RECOMMENDED that DNS intermediaries that terminate TCP connections implement edns-tcp-keepalive. An intermediary that does not implement edns-tcp-keepalive but sits between a client and server that both support edns-tcp-keepalive might close idle connections unnecessarily.
5. Security Considerations

The edns-tcp-keepalive option can potentially be abused to request large numbers of long-lived sessions in a quick burst. When a DNS server detects abusive behaviour, it SHOULD immediately close the TCP connection and free the resources used.

Servers could choose to monitor client behaviour with respect to the edns-tcp-keepalive option to build up profiles of clients that do not honour the specified timeout.

Readers are advised to familiarise themselves with the security considerations outlined in [RFC7766]

6. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned an EDNS0 option code for the edns-tcp-keepalive option from the "DNS EDNS0 Option Codes (OPT)" registry as follows:

+-----------------+-----------------+---------+------------+
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>edns-tcp-keepalive</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>RFC 7828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+-----------------+-----------------+---------+------------+
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